Serum VEGF as a Tumor Marker in Patients with HCV-related Liver Cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract. Aim: Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a primary driving force for both physiological and pathological angiogenesis, and its overexpression has been found in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The aim of this study was to retrospectively clarify the usefulness of serum VEGF levels as a tumor marker in patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related liver cirrhosis (CLC) and HCC. Materials and Methods: The patients with CLC were divided into three groups: 28 patients without HCC (CLC group), 11 patients with HCC (HCC group), and 48 patients with advanced HCC (aHCC group). The control group consisted of 37 patients with chronic HCV. Results: When the relation of serum VEGF to liver function was assessed, there was no significant difference of VEGF levels between the control group and the CLC group. When serum VEGF levels were assessed in relation to the presence of HCC, the VEGF levels of the HCC group and aHCC group were found to be significantly higher than that of the control group, while there was no significant difference between the control group and the CLC group. For the detection of cancer, serum VEGF had the largest area under the curve (AUC) and the highest accuracy when we employed the cut-off value obtained by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis using the Youden index. Evaluation of various tumor markers in the aHCC group showed that the serum levels of α-fetoprotein (AFP) were higher in patients with infiltrating tumors than in patients with multiple discrete nodules or confluent multinodular tumors, while there were no significant differences in the serum levels of VEGF, Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP (AFP-L3), and des-γ-carboxyprothrombin. There were no significant differences on the serum levels of all four markers between tumor stages, but serum VEGF was higher in patients with vascular invasion than in those without vascular invasion. Conclusion: The present findings suggest that the serum levels of VEGF might be a useful predictor of the presence of HCC in patients with CLC, while serum levels of AFP and VEGF can predict the tumor type and vascular invasion, respectively.

α-Fetoprotein (AFP) has been used for many years as a serum marker for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) diagnosis and screening (1, 2); however, in some cases, AFP has poor specificity in the detection of HCC (3, 4). Recently, the Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP (AFP-L3) (5-7) and des-γ-carboxyprothrombin (DCP) (8, 9) have been proposed as complements or substitutes for AFP in the diagnosis of HCC or the detection of recurrent HCC after locoregional treatment (10, 11). Patients who have liver cirrhosis need regular examination by abdominal ultrasound and measurement of tumor markers for HCC screening. In fact, the guidelines of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) and the Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL) recommend measurement of AFP and abdominal ultrasound every six months (12, 13), while the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommends these tests every 6-12 months (14). The Japanese Society of Hepatology recommends measurement of AFP, AFP-L3, or DCP and abdominal ultrasound every six months (15), although the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD) recommends abdominal ultrasound every six months, without tumor marker measurement, because of the low specificity of AFP (16). Therefore, a more sensitive tumor marker than AFP, AFP-L3, or DCP is required to predict carcinogenesis in patients with liver cirrhosis.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a primary driving force for both physiological and pathological angiogenesis, and its overexpression has been found in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The aim of this study was to retrospectively clarify the usefulness of serum VEGF levels as a tumor marker in patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related liver cirrhosis (CLC) and HCC. Materials and Methods: The patients with CLC were divided into three groups: 28 patients without HCC (CLC group), 11 patients with HCC (HCC group), and 48 patients with advanced HCC (aHCC group). The control group consisted of 37 patients with chronic HCV. Results: When the relation of serum VEGF to liver function was assessed, there was no significant difference of VEGF levels between the control group and the CLC group. When serum VEGF levels were assessed in relation to the presence of HCC, the VEGF levels of the HCC group and aHCC group were found to be significantly higher than that of the control group, while there was no significant difference between the control group and the CLC group. For the detection of cancer, serum VEGF had the largest area under the curve (AUC) and the highest accuracy when we employed the cut-off value obtained by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis using the Youden index. Evaluation of various tumor markers in the aHCC group showed that the serum levels of α-fetoprotein (AFP) were higher in patients with infiltrating tumors than in patients with multiple discrete nodules or confluent multinodular tumors, while there were no significant differences in the serum levels of VEGF, Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP (AFP-L3), and des-γ-carboxyprothrombin. There were no significant differences on the serum levels of all four markers between tumor stages, but serum VEGF was higher in patients with vascular invasion than in those without vascular invasion. Conclusion: The present findings suggest that the serum levels of VEGF might be a useful predictor of the presence of HCC in patients with CLC, while serum levels of AFP and VEGF can predict the tumor type and vascular invasion, respectively.
angiogenesis (17), and overexpression of VEGF is observed in HCC (18, 19). Although VEGF is also expressed in non-tumoral hepatic parenchyma, a higher level of expression is observed in tumor tissues (20, 21). VEGF is one of the most important angiogenic factors and it promotes angiogenesis in most human tumors (22). One of the notable features of most HCCs is hypervascularity (20), and it has been reported that VEGF expression is correlated with tumor vascularity (23). The circulating VEGF level was reported to be correlated with the stage of HCC and the highest VEGF levels are found in patients with metastasis (24).

Recently, Sorafenib® has been approved for anti-VEGF therapy, based on the SHARP study and the Asia-Pacific study (25, 26), such that VEGF has attracted attention again.

The aim of this study was to retrospectively clarify whether the serum VEGF level is useful as a marker for the presence and progression of HCC in patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis (CLC).

### Materials and Methods

**Patients.** Eighty-seven adult Japanese patients who had CLC with or without HCC were treated at our hospital between 2004 and 2011. Blood samples were collected from patients in the morning. The control group was composed of 37 adult Japanese patients with chronic hepatitis C, diagnosed by examination of liver biopsy specimens. All patients had stage 1 or 2 liver disease according to the fibrosis score of Desmet (27).

**Assays.** Blood samples were drawn into a serum separator tube and centrifuged at 1,800 x g for 10 min to obtain serum that was then stored at −80°C. Because VEGF levels increase over time due to degradation of platelets (28), blood samples were processed within 30 min. Serum concentrations of VEGF were measured in duplicate with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Quantikine Human VEGF Immunoassay; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), by an investigator who was blinded to the clinical information of the patients. Measurements of AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP were performed by lectin-affinity electrophoresis coupled with antibody-affinity blotting method or a microchip capillary electrophoresis and liquid-phase binding assay using a μTSA Wako i30 auto-analyzer (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) (5, 29).

**Evaluation of HCC.** The diagnosis of HCC was performed using clinical criteria and the findings obtained by B-mode ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT) angiography, or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (30, 31).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 11.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and Ekuseru-Toukei 2010 (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves were drawn in order to determine the best cut-off value of serum VEGF and to compare the accuracy of each tumor marker with that of VEGF. Dunnett’s test was employed for comparisons between the control group and the CLC group, while the Tukey-Kramer test was used to compare each pair of groups and the Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for two groups without correspondence. A probability of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

### Results

The patients with CLC were divided into the following three groups. Twenty-eight of the 87 patients had CLC without HCC (CLC group), 11 patients had CLC with solitary HCC (HCC group) and 48 patients had CLC with advanced HCC (aHCC group). The control group consisted of 20 men and 17 women, aged 24-75 years (mean±SD, 48.5±13 years). There were 17 men and 11 women aged 42-78 years (61.4±8 years) in the CLC group, nine men and two women aged 58-75 years (65.4±6 years) in the HCC group, and 42 men and six women aged 57-83 years (69.8±5 years) in the aHCC group. The Child-Pugh class was A for 16 patients in the CLC group, nine patients in the HCC group, and 30 patients in the aHCC group, while it was B for seven, two, and 14 patients and C for five, none, and four patients, respectively. There were seven patients with stage I disease and four patients with stage II disease in the HCC group, while it was B for seven, two, and 14 patients and C for five, none, and four patients, respectively. There were seven patients with stage I disease and four patients with stage II disease in the HCC group, while seven patients had stage III disease, 34 patients stage IVA, and seven patients stage IVB in the aHCC group (Table I).

**Serum VEGF and liver function.** Figure 1 shows the serum VEGF levels in the patients with CLC with or without HCC. There was no significant difference of serum VEGF between
the control group (35.78±19.0 pg/ml) and the CLC group (49.48±34.4 pg/ml). In the CLC group, there were no significant differences of VEGF among the three Child-Pugh classes (class A: 41.66±36.74, class B: 37.76±20.17, class C: 41.38±29.54 pg/ml). The serum VEGF level of the HCC group (206.65±109.23 pg/ml) was significantly higher than that of the control group (35.78±18.96 pg/ml) (p<0.05 by Dunnett’s test). The VEGF level of the aHCC group (343.88±241.85 pg/ml) was also significantly higher than that of the control group (p<0.01 by Dunnett’s test) (Figure 2), but there was no significant difference of VEGF between the control group and the CLC group.

Detection of HCC. We evaluated which tumor marker was most useful for the detection of HCC. The cut-off values were 15 ng/ml for serum AFP, 15% for serum AFP-L3, 40 mAU/ml for serum DCP, and 108 pg/ml for serum VEGF. Using these values, the sensitivity was 0.98 and the specificity was 0.46 for VEGF, while the respective values were 0.76 and 0.62 for AFP, 0.49 and 0.88 for AFP-L3, and 0.54 and 0.77 for DCP (Table II). VEGF showed the highest sensitivity among the serum tumor markers. The cut-off values for serum AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP were obtained from the guideline of the Japanese Society of Hepatology (16). The cut-off value for VEGF was the optimum value shown by the ROC curve using the Youden index (Figure 3). Although it has been reported that the specificity of VEGF is very high and other serum tumor markers are similar (15), we re-assessed the performance of each tumor marker by creating ROC curves. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for serum VEGF was 0.98, while the AUC values for serum AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP were 0.71, 0.62, and 0.61, respectively (Figure 4). In addition, the accuracy of VEGF was 0.894, while that of AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP was 0.714, 0.615, and 0.614, respectively (Table III). For diagnosis of HCC, serum VEGF had the largest AUC on ROC analysis and had the highest accuracy. Moreover, the accuracy of VEGF was higher than that of a combination of the other three tumor markers (AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP). These results indicate that the serum VEGF level was more useful for the diagnosis of HCC than the other tumor markers in patients with CLC.

Tumor markers and tumor type. In the aHCC group, the serum level of AFP was higher when patients had diffuse tumors (84,787.2±122,280.7 ng/dl) than when patients had...
multiple tumors (7699.9±18974 ng/dl) (p<0.01 by the Tukey-Kramer test) or giant tumors (10.886.5±12.451 ng/dl) (p<0.05 by the Tukey-Kramer test). However, there were no significant differences among the three tumor types for serum VEGF (multiple: 311.0±198 pg/ml, diffuse: 412.7±393 pg/ml, giant: 553.3±304 pg/ml), serum AFP-L3 (multiple: 26.5±27%, diffuse: 46.8±23%, giant: 20.9±30%), or serum DCP (multiple: 8751.6±31997 mAU/ml, diffuse: 30351.0±68107 mAU/ml, giant: 38415.0±32656 mAU/ml) (Figure 5).

Tumor markers and tumor stage. In the aHCC group, there were no significant differences of the serum levels of tumor markers among the different stages of HCC and the data were as follows: serum VEGF (stage III: 368.0±225 pg/ml, stage IVA: 324.2±247 pg/ml, stage IVB: 415.3±250 pg/ml), serum AFP (stage III: 2692.4±6301 ng/ml, stage IVA: 21859.1±59404 ng/ml, stage IVB: 13852.6±24713 ng/ml), serum AFP-L3 (stage III: 33.9±27%, stage IVA: 31.2±28%, stage IVB: 3.8±4%), and serum DCP (stage III: 4183.4±8064 mAU/ml, stage IVA: 15088.7±44306 mAU/ml, stage IVB: 19814.1±28902 mAU/ml) (Figure 6). However, the VEGF level was higher in patients with vascular invasion (489.0±268 pg/ml) than in patients without vascular invasion (304.3±217 pg/ml) (p<0.05 by Wilcoxon’s rank sum test) (Figure 7).

Discussion

El-Assal et al. reported that VEGF protein expression was lower in HCC than in the corresponding non-tumorous liver (32). However, it has also been reported that the vascular endothelial cells in tumor tissues show strong immunostaining for VEGF, whereas these cells do not show appreciable staining in non-tumorous tissues, and tumorous vascular endothelial cells may be the main target of VEGF released from HCC cells (33, 34). In addition, Mise et al. reported that VEGF is involved in neovascularization and infiltration of cancer cells into the tumor capsule in patients with HCC (35). Moreover, it was reported that VEGF levels are low under stable conditions, but hypoxia causes elevation of VEGF with tumor progression and oxygen tension plays a major role in VEGF expression (36, 37). With regard to the other tumor markers, it was reported that AFP is produced due to de-differentiation of cancer cells (38); AFP-L3 becomes detectable due to increased fucosylation of AFP because of increased GDP-fucose activity related to up-regulation of the FX gene expression in HCC cells (39); and DCP increases because of the low vitamin K concentration and hypoxia around HCC cells (40-42). Therefore, it seems that the measurement of VEGF detects a factor required for proliferation of HCC, while other markers indirectly detect the tumor. It is important to clarify the usefulness of VEGF as a tumor marker by comparison with other tumor markers in patients with liver cirrhosis with HCC. In the present study, there was no significant difference of the serum VEGF levels between the control group and the CLC group and there were also no significant differences of VEGF among the three Child-Pugh classes in the CLC group. The serum VEGF levels of the HCC group were significantly higher than that of the control group and the VEGF levels of the aHCC group were also significantly higher than that of the control group, but there was no significant difference of VEGF between the control group and the CLC group. These results indicated that development of HCC in patients with liver cirrhosis might be predicted by an increasing serum level of VEGF, although the VEGF levels were not related to liver function. We evaluated which serum tumor marker was most useful for the detection of HCC. As a result, VEGF showed higher sensitivity than the other tumor markers and it had the largest AUC on ROC analysis, as well as the highest accuracy. Furthermore, the

Table II. Sensitivity and specificity for each tumor marker.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HCC</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP-L3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor, AFP: α-fetoprotein; AFP-L3: lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP; DCP: des-γ-carboxyprothrombin (DCP); HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma.
accuracy of VEGF was higher than that of the combination of three other tumor markers. These results indicate that serum VEGF is more useful for detection of HCC than other serum tumor markers in patients with CLC. Thus, addition of a test for VEGF might improve the performance of HCC screening, although there are also many unknown factors regarding the production of the other three tumor markers.

In this study, we estimated the usefulness of serum tumor markers in patients with aHCC in relation to the tumor type, stage, and vascular invasion. Assessment of the relation between various serum markers and tumor type showed that there were no significant differences of VEGF, AFP-L3, and DCP levels among the three tumor types, although serum AFP levels were higher in patients with diffuse tumors. It has been reported that AFP is produced due to the de-differentiation of cancer cells (38), and that HCC is often well-differentiated at an early stage and undergoes de-differentiation as it grows (43). Unlike AFP, production of DCP depends on a low concentration of vitamin K and hypoxia around HCC cells (40-42). In the present study, serum AFP was not notably increased because there were many well-differentiated carcinomas. It was reported that early HCC (Edmondson-Steiner grade 1) is occasionally hypovascular on

Table III. Diagnostic accuracy for various tumor markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Area under the curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFP (cut-off &lt;15 ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP-L3 (cut-off &lt;15%)</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP (cut-off &lt;40 mAU/ml)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF (cut-off &lt;108 pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAFP+AFP-L3+DCP</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor; AFP: α-fetoprotein; AFP-L3: lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP; DCP: des-γ-carboxyprothrombin (DCP).
Figure 5. Tumor marker levels in each tumor type from the advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (aHCC) group. The serum level of α-fetoprotein (AFP) was higher in patients with diffuse tumors than in those with multiple tumors (**p<0.01 by the Tukey-Kramer test) or giant tumors (*p<0.05 by the Tukey-Kramer test). However, there were no significant differences of serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP (AFP-L3), or des-γ-carboxyprothrombin (DCP) among the three tumor types.

Figure 6. Tumor marker levels for each stage of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). There were no significant differences among each stage for any of the tumor markers. VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor, AFP: α-fetoprotein, AFP-L3: lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP, DCP: des-γ-carboxyprothrombin (DCP).
angiography or CT arteriography (44) and that the number of arteries in a hepatic nodule increases during progression from adenomatous hyperplasia to atypical adenomatous hyperplasia and then HCC (45). Stroescu et al. reported that overexpression of VEGF was more frequent in large HCCs than small HCCs and that VEGF expression was far stronger in patients with poorly-differentiated HCC (46). Suzuki et al. reported that large HCC nodules (>3 cm) tended to have internal hypoxia and necrosis, with up-regulation of the expression of VEGF mRNA (21). In the present study, serum VEGF levels decreased in the order of giant>diffuse>multiple tumors, although there were no significant differences among the three tumor types. These results might indicate that the serum levels of VEGF is high in patients with early small tumors that are well-differentiated and decreases with tumor progression, and that diffuse tumors are mainly well-differentiated, while multiple tumors exhibit intermediate differentiation and giant tumors are affected by hypoxia and necrosis. Moreover, VEGF levels were higher in patients with vascular invasion than in patients without vascular invasion, although there were no significant differences in the levels of any tumor marker among the tumors of the levels of any tumor marker among the tumor stages. It has been reported that patients with HCC with vascular invasion develop numerous microscopic intrahepatic metastases and that patients with HCC, undetectable by imaging, have high serum levels of VEGF (47, 48). This might indicate that angiogenesis by microscopic intrahepatic HCC is reflected in the serum VEGF level.

Conclusion

The present findings suggested that the serum levels of VEGF might be a useful predictor of the presence of HCC in patients with CLC, while serum AFP and VEGF might be important for predicting tumor type and vascular invasion, respectively.
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